

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/058,212	Applicant(s) LAMBERT, ROBERT J.	
	Examiner Kaveh Abrishamkar	Art Unit 2131	

All Participants:

(1) Kaveh Abrishamkar.

(2) Brett Slaney (Reg. No. 58,772).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 January 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Possible 101 Rejections

Claims discussed:
 1,4,6

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner notified the Applicant that the application would be in condition for allowance if certain possible 101 issues were remedied via an Examiner's Amendment. Claims 1 and 4 were directed towards mathematical algorithms and claim 6 was said to be amended to more clearly define the system. The Applicant agreed to the Examiner's amendment.